

“Sitting Beside:” Developmental Assessment of Presidents of Academic Institutions, A Guide

This Guide is available for use without charge with appropriate attribution.

The suggested reference is: Larry A. Braskamp. *“Sitting Beside:” Developmental Assessment of Presidents of Academic Institutions, A Guide*. Chicago: Global Perspective Institute, Inc. 2021.

Questions should be directed to Larry Braskamp at Larry@sitbeside.org or 312.420.1056.

The website, Sitbeside.org, provides information about our services and publications.

Copyright © Global Perspective Institute Inc., 260 E. Chestnut Street, #3307, Chicago, IL 60611.

The word “President” in this Guide describes the senior executive position (e.g., President, CEO, Executive Director, Chancellor, Dean) in an organization or institution, or the person who directly reports to a Governing Board.

November 2017 Revised January 2021

Introduction

Today we in higher education are increasingly asking this question, “How does the Board and the President advance the institution so it will be sustainable and competitive in a turbulent global society?” And more specifically, “What type of presidential assessment process is most useful to both the President and Board in answering and addressing this question?”

In this Guide several features are highlighted.

- The ultimate purpose of the assessment process used in this Guide is to enhance the institution’s well-being by helping the President develop and grow in becoming an even more effective leader. This requires the Board to invest in leadership development of the President. It also requires both the Board and President to create a culture of growth and change.
- This Guide stresses a futurist as well as a developmental view of assessment. A useful assessment evaluates the President’s ability and potential and works with the President to lead the institution to be sustainable and competitive in an ever changing and turbulent global society. The Board and President cannot control the external turbulences -- barriers and opportunities – but together they can adapt wisely and proactively to ensure the future of the institution.
- A customized assessment is the most useful since each institution has distinct features, goals, and environmental context. The President is also a unique person. In the assessment approach described in this Guide, the Board and President are given maximum freedom to select what to assess (selecting criteria); how to assess (collecting evidence) and why to assess (to improve the leadership effectiveness of the President and/or determine the future status of the President).
- The Guiding principle of the assessment process used in this Guide is based on the Latin root, *assidere*, which means “To Sit Beside.” “Sitting Beside” stresses collaboration between the Board and the President. It enhances a trusting relationship, where risk taking and experimentation are accepted and encouraged. It promotes a “growth mindset” which focuses on learning and improving and on uncovering and cultivating the President’s skills and behaviors so the President will grow and become more effective. It implies ongoing assessment, providing constructive feedback on what is and is not working. Follow-up sessions to discuss the evidence collected and decide on future actions agreed upon by the President and Board are a part of the process. Finally, it recognizes and highlights the nature of the Institutional context –mission, character, culture, strategic goals, and significant external forces-- that may influence the future of the institution.
- “Sitting Beside” is challenging but within a safe environment that focuses on what the President has contributed to the progress of the institution and what he/she can do in the future to fulfill the mission of the institution. The Board and the President will benefit by honestly facing current realities and challenges and opportunities for the future, and then together acting proactively on them.

- “Sitting Beside” must be done strategically and wisely. Do not overdo assessment, i.e., do not have the assessment process become the major work of the Board. If presidential assessment has not previously been done, ease into it incrementally.

The Assessment process described in this Guide includes five major activities:

- Making Decisions about the Administration of the Assessment
- Determining What to Assess: Selecting Criteria
- Collecting Evidence
- Interpreting Evidence and Using Assessment to Foster Development
- Using Assessment to Determine Future Status of the President



1. Making Decisions about the Administration of the Assessment

Conducting an assessment is a delicate, often a taxing and emotional undertaking. The local setting is critical in determining the administrative details. The following issues are relevant for the assessment of a President who directly reports to a Governing Board:

Engaging Board Members in the Assessment. All members should have a general understanding of the process. The process will have credibility if members are willing to have confidence in a select group of 2 – 3 Board members which may include the Chair to take responsibility for the administration of the assessment. The key person is the Board chair and his/her relationship with the President.

Deciding on an Annual Assessment or Comprehensive Assessment. The Board is responsible for determining the scope and timing of the Presidential assessment. An **Annual Assessment** is common today, although the formality and scope of an annual assessment varies. The legal contract can influence the scope and purpose of the Annual Assessment. At a minimum, it involves the Board chair meeting with the President once a year to discuss progress, emerging concerns and issues, and plans for the future. The amount of evidence varies, but often fellow Board members are polled to collect their views of the President. The annual assessment is not to be a laborious undertaking. A **Periodic Comprehensive Assessment** will take longer, since more evidence will most likely be collected. Normally, an external consultant is employed.

The major steps of an Annual Assessment can include:

- Board selects 2 - 3 Board members (It is called the Assessment Committee in this Guide) to conduct the assessment,
- The Assessment Committee meets with the President to select the criteria, evidence, and uses of the assessment,
- President prepares a self-report,
- Assessment Committee collects evidence usually by interviewing or asking for comments from fellow Board members,

- Assessment Committee reviews the President’s self-report and writes a draft response,
- Assessment Committee (with Chair if not a member) meets with the President to share the draft report to correct possible factual errors and clarifications and to receive initial response from the President,
- Assessment Committee presents its final report (orally, written summary or complete report) to the Board for discussion and approval,
- Assessment Committee (with Chair) informs President of the Board decisions and follows up periodically (e.g., four or six months) to discuss progress and issues, provide further feedback, and offer support in light of the challenges the President and the institution face.

Ensuring the Confidentiality of the Process. Confidentiality refers both to the respect given to those who provide evaluations of the President, as well as the President. The rule of the “need to know” is important since not everyone needs to know everything about the process and all the evidence and conclusions reached. Transparency should be weighed against protecting the needed confidentiality of the process. The potential impact of social media now needs to be considered.



2. Determining What to Assess: Selecting Criteria

What to assess in a developmental assessment is driven by two factors. First, how well has the President met the goals and expectations that were established for the current year? It is important that the President be assessed on his/her achievements in meeting the predetermined goals established for the current year. Second, how does the institution define Presidential leadership and what criteria of effective Presidential leadership are to be used? What is the President’s view of being an effective leader at the institution?

Selecting criteria give focus to the assessment, since they represent the indicators of the effectiveness of the President. They dictate the types of evidence to collect, discussions, recommendations for the future development of the President, and follow up meetings. Thus, the President and the Board should agree on the selection of the criteria to be used at the onset of a developmental assessment.

The three major categories used in this Guide are:

- Leadership Characteristics,
- Skills and Competencies,
- Performance in Meeting Goals

Leadership Characteristics

This category highlights who a President is as a professional in a leadership position. The term, “Leadership Characteristics” includes a number of concepts such as attributes, traits, qualities, values, dispositions, strengths, attitudes.

Board members and Presidents have preferences of what characteristics a president should possess. They include: the President being adaptive, agile, decisive, disciplined, emotionally mature, enterprising, flexible, humble, innovative, persuasive, proactive, resilient, self-confident, socially mature, supportive, trustworthy.

The Board and President should select the characteristics that will be most useful and relevant. For example, institutions with a very strong identity and faith-based or religious mission may expect and require that the President embody and “live out” the institution’s beliefs, values, and ethos.

The following are some items that can be included about the strengths and characteristics of the President to lead the institution in the future. The President:

- Is knowledgeable and aware of the future demands and challenges in our institution within the broader societal context
- Is a good judge of talent and skills of staff needed for the future of our institution
- Is able to demonstrate emotional and social intelligence to lead our institution into the future
- Is prepared for difficult and often unforgiving external environment and/or internal resistance
- Has insights and capacity to exploit emerging and/or sudden opportunities for future growth of our institution
- Has self-awareness, a strong inner sense of self, and a commitment to leading change
- Is agile and proactively adaptive to the changing external forces and pressures on the future of our institution
- Advocates social justice and equity, and serving and educating the changing demographics of our populations which may be a strong factor in the future of our institution

Skills and Competencies of a President

Presidents also can be assessed in terms of what they do as leaders in their leadership, managerial, and administrative roles. Leadership skills, competencies, and behaviors include strategic visioning, creating a culture, relations with Board members, faculty, staff, and financial management. Below are listed some criteria that can be used to assess the President’s competencies in leading the Institution in the future. The President:

- Articulates and communicates a vision for the future of our institution
- Has a realistic view of the current status of our institution and its aspirations for the future
- Makes decisions that ensure the future well-being of our institution
- Gains support and buy-in from internal and external stakeholders for the future of our institution
- Leads the Board in how our institution needs to change to thrive in the future
- Can prioritize his/her energy and influence on areas that will yield the greatest impact on the future well-being of our institution
- Manages the tension between being open and flexible and keeping the institution focused
- Leads the Board in reflective discussion and dialogue about the future of our institution
- Has employed and promoted persons in leadership positions at our institution that respond to our institution’s future goals, opportunities, and needs
- Promotes a culture that incorporates both stability and agility
- Knows how to advance the institution in a competitive turbulent environment

Appendix A lists additional items for each of nine major competencies that Presidents are often expected to perform. You can select a few from this list to meet your goals for the assessment.

Performance on Meeting Goals

This category focuses on results and achievements, highlighting the well-being of the institution. Has the President advanced the institution in accordance with predetermined goals? The focus is not on the President's leadership characteristics or leadership skills. It's about the present status and projected future of the institution. Often the goals are numerical and quantifiable and stated in measurable terms, which is very important for clarity and credibility.

The criteria selected to measure the well-being of the institution are most useful if they are based on the mission of the institution or organization; position description of the President; legal contract; measurable goals of performance for the time period being assessed; strategic plan; prior institutional achievements; and significant challenges facing the institution that have arisen in the past year or are deemed to be significant in the future.

Indicators of institutional well-being reflect the engagement and successes of the President in many functional areas, such as financial management, fund raising and donor and constituent development, enrollment and diversity of students, and visibility and credibility of the institution to external stakeholders.

Some possible items are listed below, prefaced by "made progress" to emphasize that a developmental assessment is viewing the work of the President as a journey. **Our Institution has made progress in:**

- Securing funding from donors and friends, philanthropic institutions and corporations
- Attracting and maintaining a high quality and diverse staff and faculty
- Enhancing the quality of academic programs
- Enrolling students and successes in retention of students
- Developing and revising academic programs that meet the needs of the larger society
- Maintaining financial stability and ensuring its future financial well-being
- Increasing the institution's visibility, credibility, and reputation

Selecting criteria

The Board and President will be wise to select only a few criteria in a developmental assessment. The selection of criteria depends on what the President and the Board desire to learn about the effectiveness of the President. They also should consider what criteria and issues will optimally help the President in leading the institution to be sustainable and competitive in a turbulent global society. The selected criteria should reflect and align with the institutional mission, goals, and environmental context. They also should be based on the goals and expectations used in the hiring of the President and for the most recent year of the President (if available). For developmental purposes, the selection may also be dependent on the tenure of the President. A President in his/her first or second year may be evaluated differently than a President in the position for nearly a decade or more.



3. Collecting Evidence

This major activity is the “how” of the process. What evidence is to be collected and used in the assessment? Will the Board and the President have confidence that the evidence is fair, accurate, inclusive, sensitive, and reliable? Can the evidence easily lead to meaningful discussions and conclusions about the effectiveness of the President? Finally, can the evidence lead to planning and action that focuses on both the President as leader and the projected future well-being of the institution?

A trustworthy assessment is best achieved by collecting evidence from different stakeholders. Normally, Board members and the President provide their perspectives in an annual “sitting beside” assessment. Other stakeholders and sources include administrators (direct reports and senior staff), faculty, students and student leaders, alumni, friends, donors, citizens and community groups, public officials, colleagues of the President, rating agencies (financial and academic quality), and comparative data from national data bases (e.g., IPEDs, Moody’s).

Many different methods of collecting evidence, data, and information can be employed. They include interviews of stakeholders (individual, group, telephone), rating scales, written appraisals, records of achievements and accomplishments, documentation and records review (e.g., budget, fund raising, enrollment data over time), and published records of eminence, quality, and impact.

In a “sitting beside” approach, the President and the Assessment Committee of the Board together determine what evidence is to be collected. The collected evidence should be aligned with the criteria.

Employing a Multiple Perspectives Approach to Assessment

A multiple perspective approach for a presidential assessment is ideal when feasible and appropriate. Collecting evidence from a number of stakeholders can reveal consistencies and inconsistencies. A “360 degree” strategy can be very useful to gauge the effectiveness of the president from different perspectives, e.g., faculty and staff. While personal face-to-face interviews are often the preferred method for gathering evidence, other collection tools like online surveys, telephone interviews, focus groups also provide useful data.

Collecting evidence without a plan for its use is not productive. Assessment is to help the President, not undermine his/her authority and needed empowerment to advance the well-being of the institution. Finally, the cost (time, disruption, unintended consequences) of conducting an assessment is important to gauge when choosing those who will provide evidence and how to collect it. Do not overdo.



4. Interpreting Evidence and Using Assessment to Foster Development and Growth

The last major activity of a developmental assessment is interpreting and using the assessment evidence gathered. The recommended first phase is the President writing a report followed by a report by the

Board. These complimentary reports may reveal similar patterns and consistencies, but differences and inconsistencies are also critical for a productive developmental assessment. They focus not on a string of activities or a list of achievements, but an analysis and synthesis of the President in leading the institution in the future.

Self-report of the President

The President's self-report can include both a summary of accomplishments in the past year and plans for the future and a self-reflection of successes and challenges of the present and future. The President summarizes the achievements and contributions within the context of the institution's expectations. The President also communicates priorities, successes, future goals and aspirations. The President's story with evidence becomes the starting point for "sitting beside" interactions between the President and the Assessment Committee.

Recommended topics for a self-report include:

- Meeting current institutional and strategic goals,
- Notable achievements, including trends,
- Responses to unplanned challenges and opportunities,
- Future plans, strategies, goals, and expectations,
- Future personal and professional goals,
- Request for future institutional support for personal and professional development

Report of the Board Assessment Committee

The report of the Board Assessment Committee is the critical step in engaging the Board and the President in follow-up discussion, reflection, planning, and action. It sets the stage about the performance of the President and the future of both the President and the institution.

The Board Report can include many of the same topics the President uses with some notable additions. The Board report often provides judgments of the effectiveness of the President, areas for improvements, and types of future support that the Board is willing to provide to the President. Using additional evidence collected from other sources, the Board Report often includes conclusions and recommendations to foster the development of the President and to demonstrate accountability.

Evidence from an assessment for the President's development and improvement will be most useful if the feedback notes specific examples of strengths and areas for improvement, compares present and past performance to highlight progress in growth and change, and includes recommendations for improvement. It can offer suggestions to help the President become more effective, e.g., relationships with Board members and/or employing an external mentor or coach. It ideally centers on future challenges and support. Finally it encourages the President to feel that he/she also owns the entire assessment process.

The Board and the President should consider what issues and information are to be included in a written report. It may be more helpful to the President if the Assessment Committee includes some of the feedback in a face-to-face confidential "sit beside" setting. Very sensitive and highly personal issues are often best communicated and discussed in a confidential setting. Communication of this nature is best not written in a report. Every person, including Presidents, are anxious about assessment, and

regardless how positive it is overall, feedback is almost always received personally. Often it stings. Assessment is personal.

Developmental assessment requires an ongoing and interactive process that includes recommending, planning, problem solving, taking action, and monitoring throughout the entire year. A useful assessment includes serious conversations and deliberations and leads to actions by both parties that foster the continual development of the President. The current goals become the cornerstone of the assessment for the next year. They become markers in the journey of the President. The President can provide updated progress reports to the Board or a Board committee during the year.

A Developmental Assessment not only challenges the President to tell his/her story and take action, but encourages the Board to self-assess its role in helping the President be successful in the future. In short, to what extent is the Board providing a challenging and supportive environment for the President?



5. Using Assessment to Determine Future Status of the President

The primary focus of Developmental Assessment described in this Guide is fostering the growth and development of the President. However, the Board is also charged with fulfilling its moral, legal, and fiduciary duties, which includes determining compensation of the President and future status. “Sitting Beside” honors the role of the Board to demonstrate it is a responsible steward of the institution. But, as a general operating principle, a Developmental Assessment process is not a substitute for an assessment whose purpose is to determine the future status of the President.

Integrating both purposes into an assessment frequently creates a tension within the institution. If an assessment is used for both purposes, then the dual purpose should be determined and communicated at the outset of the process. Much of the evidence, particularly the evidence on institutional well-being, is useful for both purposes. Evidence about character and relationships with various stakeholders are generally more appropriate in developmental assessment.



6. Informing Other Stakeholders of the Assessment

In this era of increased desire for transparency, where sharing is a hallmark of accountability and trust, several questions about access to the President’s report and the Assessment Committee Report should be asked. Should only the chair of the Board and the Executive Committee have access to the Assessment Report? Should the entire Board have access to the Assessment Report? Is the communication to be written and/or orally discussed at a future Board meeting? Do those who have provided input (e.g., those providing interview comments) have access to a summary or all sections of the Assessment Report? How does the President respond to whom (e.g., entire Board) when? How much should members of the institution (e.g., faculty, staff) be informed of the conclusions and recommendations of the assessment, if at all?

All of these decisions are best done by the Board Assessment Committee and President “sitting beside” at the beginning of the process. The entire Board will benefit if it receives either in writing or in a Board meeting, the entire Assessment Committee report or perhaps a summary of it, focusing on the future development of the President and his/her role in advancing the well-being of the institution. Buy-in from the entire Board helps enhance the future development of the President.

Informing other stakeholders should be done judiciously. For example, making public comments from individual staff and faculty is not considered good practice. In all cases the goal to help the President.

Appendix A

For each of the major categories of skills and competencies that Presidents may be expected to use in leading, a set of four items are listed. The Board Assessment Committee and President have the option to select a few items to use in collecting evidence from fellow Board members and other stakeholders.

In Strategic planning, the President:

Communicates a vision for the future of our institution that both fulfills our mission and market realities // Is able to create a vision that puts our institution in a positive competitive position // Uses research data and evidence to inform policy and decision making // Has a strategic framework which is updated periodically

In Creating a Culture, the President:

Creates a culture of continuous feedback and improvement that is results oriented // Creates an ethic of entrepreneurship in our institution // Fosters a culture that honors growth of all employees in our institution // Instills a sense of belongingness to all employees

In Leading and Managing the Institution, the President:

Delegates appropriately to senior staff // Sets standards of excellence and models for colleagues // Focuses on the highest priorities // Continually monitors progress using transparent metrics

In Academic Leadership, the President:

Promotes academic programs in research, service, and teaching that meet both our mission and the marketplace // Is engaged in ensuring quality control and assurance in academic programs // Supports revenue generating academic programs // Collaborates with other academic institutions in offering high quality programs to meet student needs

In Relations with Faculty, the President:

Works effectively with faculty in promoting new academic initiatives // Advocates and practices shared governance that includes shared responsibility among Board, administration, and faculty // Respects and engages with faculty in setting strategic goals and planning of academic initiatives // Respects the role of faculty norms in the academy (e.g., tenure, academic freedom)

In Relations with Staff and Employees, the President:

Motivates and inspires staff // Cares about the well-being of all employees in our institution // Acknowledges expertise in his staff and others // Thanks others for their contributions to our institution

In Relations with Governing Board, the President:

Has established and fosters a collaborative problem-solving approach with our Board // Is skilled in fund raising with the Board // Keeps all Board members appropriately informed // Has been empowered by the Board to lead our institution

In Relations with External Stakeholders, the President:

Communicates information timely and attractively to constituencies, audiences, and stakeholders // Cultivates relationships with friends and donors // Is highly visible and respected in the community // Relates to the media to promote the visibility and reputation of our institution

In Financial Management, the President:

Has been able to effectively right size the budget of our institution // Continually examines and takes action to minimize costs without impacting quality of our services and programs // Has found new income and revenue sources // Communicates clearly and honestly to stakeholders the financial realities of our institution

Additional Readings

- Braskamp, Larry A. and John C. Ory. *Assessing Faculty Work*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass, 1994
- Braskamp, Larry A. and Jon F. Wergin. "Inside-out leadership." *Liberal Learning, AAC&U*. Winter 2008.
- Dweck, Carol S. *Mindset: The new psychology of success*. New York: Balantine, 2006.
- MacTaggart, Terrence. "How Presidential Evaluations Must Change." *Trusteeship*, January/ February 2012.
- MacTaggart, Terrence. *The 21st Century Presidency: A Call to Enterprise Leadership*. Washington DC: AGB Press. 2017.
- Mac Taggart, Terrence. *Assessing and Developing College and University Presidents: An Enterprise Approach*. Washington, D.C.: AGB Press, 2020.
- Morrill, Richard L. *Assessing Presidential Effectiveness: A Guide for College and University Boards*. Washington DC: AGB Press, 2010.
- Wheeler, Barbara G. Douglas Lewis, Sharon L. Miller, Anthony T. Ruger, and David L. Tiede. *Leadership that works*. Auburn Center for the Study of Theological Education, 2010.